

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/635,052 08/06/2003 Michael Barjesteh 818-123.1 US 1346 26817 **EXAMINER** 7590 06/25/2004 MATHEWS, COLLINS, SHEPHERD & MCKAY, P.A. HUSAR, STEPHEN F 100 THANET CIRCLE, SUITE 306 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PRINCETON, NJ 08540-3674 2875

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/635,052	BARJESTEH, MICHAEL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Stephen F. Husar	2875	
Period fe	Th MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspond nc address	
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thiceriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 October 2003.		
2a)□				
3)				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 38 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for D All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur.  2. Certified copies of the priority docur.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachme	• •	<b>"□</b>	O (DTO 442)	
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔯 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si er No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/03, 10/9/03</u> .	7	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Interference

1. Claim 38 of this application has been proposed by the applicant for the purpose of provoking an interference with U. S. Patent No. 6,491,408. This claim is not patentable to the applicant because of the following rejections as set forth below.

An interference cannot be initiated since a prerequisite for interference under 37 CFR 1.606 is that the claim be patentable to the applicant subject to a judgment in the interference.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 10/6/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Note there were no copies of the non-patent literature found only the Nichia America brochure has been provided and that has been crossed out on applicant's second IDS filed 10/9/03 because it was first presented in 10/6/03 IDS and therefore a second listing is redundant.

## **Priority**

3. If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. For benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121 or 365(c), the reference must

Application/Control Number: 10/635,052 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) of the applications. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph unless it appears in an application data sheet. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No. \_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

If the application is a utility or plant application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on or after November 29, 2000, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. If the application is a utility or plant application which entered the national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the specific reference must be submitted during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the date on which the national stage commenced under 35 U.S.C. 371(b) or (f) or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. See 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and (a)(5)(ii). This time period is not extendable and a failure to submit the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 119(e) and/or 120, where applicable, within this time period is considered a waiver of any benefit of such prior application(s) under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). A priority claim filed after the required time period may be accepted if it is accompanied by a grantable

Art Unit: 2875

petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 or 119(e) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) to the prior application (unless previously submitted), (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t), and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) or (a)(5) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

Applicant should update the status of SN 10/174,729 to reflect its current status as a patent.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by COOPER ET AL. (6,491,408). Cooper et al. shows in Fig.5A; a light head "30", at least one LED "28" disposed within the light head "30" capable of emitting UV/blue LED light (see

Art Unit: 2875

col.6, lines 15-16), a main body "36", and an elongated flexible stalk "32" whereby bending the flexible stalk permits the light head to assume a variety of angular positions.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. BARJESTEH (6647761) has been considered for double patenting obviousness purposes but due to a restriction requirement in the parent application the claims have been deemed patentably distinct from each other.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Husar whose telephone number is 571-272-2371. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W and F from 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,052 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen F. Husar
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2875

SFH